



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

	APPLICATIÓN NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/939,913	08/27/2001 590 06/20/2003	Roy M. Griswold	60SI01998	8 4055
	Kenneth S. Wheelock GE Plastics One Plastics Avenue Pittsfield, MA 01201		·	EXAMINER ROBERTSON, JEFFREY	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1712	
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\$ 8					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/939,913	GRISWOLD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey B. Robertson	1712					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	<u> April 2003</u> .						
,	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<i>,</i>	4) Claim(s) 1-17,19 and 21-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documer 							
Certified copies of the priority documer							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/939,913

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the mailing or post office address of each inventor. A mailing or post office address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing or post office address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing or post office address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

The post office address of all the inventors has been omitted.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the specification on pages 6, 9, and 10, in the formula for silane $R^E_h(SiOR^A)_{4-h}$, applicant states that R^E is an oxirane or an epoxide containing radical having from one to forty carbon atoms, however, by definition, an epoxide contains at least two carbon radicals in the epoxide ring.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. Applicant is advised that should claim 11 be found allowable, claim 19 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/939,913

Art Unit: 1712

one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). The only difference between claims 11 and 19 is the use of the word "paper" before release in the preamble of the claim 19. The rest of the claims are identical. Since the components of the composition are the same in both claims, it is the examiner's position that there is no structural difference between the compositions of claims 11 and 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-17, 19, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 11, 19, and 22, in the formula for silane $R^E_h(SiOR^A)_{4-h}$, applicant states that R^E is an oxirane or an epoxide containing radical having from one to forty carbon atoms, however, by definition, an epoxide contains at least two carbon radicals in the epoxide ring.

Response to Amendment

6. The previous objections to the specification and claims, as well as the previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph have been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments to the specification and claims. The warning regarding claims

Application/Control Number: 09/939,913 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

11 and 19 as well as the comments regarding the declaration set forth in the previous office action are continued as applicant has not addressed these issues in the filed response. The examiner apologizes for not bringing to applicant's attention the issues that gave rise to the above objection and rejection earlier.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-17, and 21-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action, with the caveat that claim 19 would be objected to if claim 11 were to be found allowable.

Conclusion

8. It is noted that this rejection is not made final because the new grounds of rejection set forth above did not arise from applicant's amendments to the claims and specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey B. Robertson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5929. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/939,913

Art Unit: 1712

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jeffrey B. Robertson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

JBR June 19, 2003 Page 5